



6000 East Evans Avenue, Suite 1-428
 Denver, CO 80222
 (720) 708-4176

AGS Feasibility Study Meeting Notes

Meeting Type & Number: PLT Meeting #7
Meeting Date: November 14, 2012
Meeting Time: 10:00 AM to 1:00 PM
Location: Eagle County Bldg - Garden Level Classroom, 500 Broadway Street, Eagle, CO 81631
Prepared by: Mike Riggs
Date published: November 26, 2012
Attendees:

Attendees (* - PLT Member, ** - PLT Alternate)		
Jacob Riger, DRCOG*	Kevin O'Malley, I-70 Coalition*	Flo Raitano, Summit County*
Maria D'Andrea, Jefferson County*	Mary Jane Loevlie, I-70 Coalition*	Tom Breslin, Clear Creek County*
Cynthia Neely, Clear Creek County**	David Krutsinger, CDOT DTR*	Peter Runyon, Eagle County*
Tracey MacDonald, CDOT DTR	Angie Drumm, CDOT*	Mike Riggs, AZTEC/TYPESA*
Terri Binder, Club 20*	Peter Lombardi, CDOT*	Peter Kozinski, CDOT*
Eva Wilson, Eagle County**	Carol Kruse, USFS	Thad Noll, Summit County
Mark Imhoff, CDOT DTR	Andy Mountain, GBSM	Tom Underwood, Jacobs
Beth Vogelsang, O&V Consulting	Don Ulrich, CH2M Hill	Mike Spies, Town of Empire

1. Introduction to the Meeting

David Krustinger opened the meeting and welcomed the PLT. All attendees introduced themselves.

Andy reviewed the meeting agenda and outlined the meeting objectives, which included:

- Review Qualifications Screening of Technology Providers
- Discuss Consultant Team's Review of SOTIs
- Discuss Format for Technology Forum
- Update on Land Use & Station Criteria
- Update on AGS/ICS/Co-Development Project Coordination

Andy gave a brief update on the project website, public launch and media outreach.

David indicated that Angie Drumm had made offer to legislators to brief them on AGS and to meet with them as required. It was also pointed out that the PLT should be reaching out to legislators.

A request was made to find out who the new State House/Senate Transportation Committee Chairpersons will be.

2. Review of SOTIs

Mike Riggs made a presentation explaining the process followed in reviewing the 18 SOTIs received from technology providers. He referred to the memo that was forwarded to CDOT, PLT and Technical Committee on November 8, 2012.

Mike first discussed the six qualifying criteria. He clarified that all six criteria had to be met for the SOTI to be reviewed further. Mike and Pamela Bailey-Campbell were the qualification reviewers. 11 of the 18 SOTIs met the six qualifying criteria. In a few cases, requests for clarification were sent out to technology providers whose responses mostly met the criteria but where additional information was needed to qualify those technologies. Mike explained why the seven SOTIs did not qualify for further review.

Mike then explained the process by which the remaining 11 SOTIs were reviewed. A Consultant Review Team was established who looked at specific criteria and provided a score for the technology provider's response to the criteria. In some cases, additional requests for clarification were sent out to technology providers. The scores were tallied and a rank order was established. The rank order was established for deciding which technology providers would be invited to make presentations at the technology forum.

The attendees agreed that the process and the selections were acceptable.

3. Technology Forum

Andy Mountain discussed the plan for the technology forum. The forum will be held on 12/13 & 14. The first day will be for all 11 providers to exhibit their technologies. Two presentations will be made on the 13th. The second day, there will be three presentations.

A question was raised as to how media would be handled. It was suggested that first hour of exhibition be closed to public to allow media to talk to providers.

Mike pointed out that 3 to 4 generic common questions need to be developed that each provider would answer in their presentation. In addition, specific questions for each provider need to be developed. Mike will organize a Technical Committee meeting the week before the forum.

It was also suggested that binders be prepared for each member of the technical review panel.

Non-disclosure agreements were passed out for signature to attendees. GBSM will track these as well as who will be attending forum/presentations.

4. Update on Land Use & Station Criteria

Beth Vogelsang presented an update on county land use/station planning meetings. The first round of meetings have been held with the 4 counties (Jefferson, Clear Creek, Summit & Eagle). She explained what was covered in each of the meetings and presented some example station sites.

Next step is to have individual working group sessions in November/December 2012. The second round of county meetings will be in January/February 2013. The second round meetings will also begin to incorporate local transit system planning.

5. AGS/ICS/Co-Development Project Coordination

Don Uhlich gave an update on the ICS project. He showed slides with the various east-west options being explored through Denver.

6. Conclusion, Final Remarks & Next Steps

David Krutsinger raised the question of changes to PLT membership, specifically Denver Chamber of Commerce, Eagle County, I-70 Coalition, Colorado Environmental Coalition and Club 20.

It was decided that each organization is already part of PLT and that each would provide new PLT members or that those who have been participating would be officially part of PLT.

Flo Raitano suggested that future meetings be held later in the day for purposes of travel. The PLT agreed to change the time of future meetings to 10:00 a.m. – 1:00 p.m.

It was noted that the technology forum would replace the December PLT meeting. The next PLT meeting would be tentatively held on January 9, 2013, pending items to discuss.

Mike concluded the meeting and asked that anyone needing a CD of the SOTIs to email him.