

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CP 16, EVALUATION OF MATERIALS TESTING The contractor, consultant and head tester should be interviewed prior to completing this form. There should be a final meeting with the consultant to review strengths and weaknesses.	Ü^* q } K	Ü^• q^ } & K
	Ö[} d a s o K	Oæ^
	Ü[} b & A [È K	
	Ü[} H S [& a q } K	

Name of Consultant Company:	Name of Consultant Tester:	Quality of Work/Total Rating:
-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------------

PROJECT TESTER (A)

Evaluation Factors:	Ratings: (5) very good, (4) good, (3) average, (2) below average, (1) poor
1. Knowledge of test procedures	
2. Following test procedures	
3. Knowledge of project specifications	
4. Following project specifications	
5. Test result distribution	
6. Following protocol for failing tests	
7. Following instructions / directions of CDOT management staff	
8. Paperwork / documentation (during construction)	
9. Final paperwork / documentation (after construction)	
10. Time management	
11. Scheduling I.A. testing	
12. Attendance at weekly / required meetings	
13. Housekeeping / field lab organization	
14. Test equipment maintenance	
Subtotal:	
Average:	

CONSULTANT MANAGEMENT SUPPORT (B)

Evaluation Factors:	Ratings: (5) above standard, (3) standard, (1) below standard
Note: Description of the factors can be found in CP 16, Subsection 3.3.3.	
1. Quality	
2. Timeliness	
3. Price / Budget	
4. Business Relations / Customer Service	
5. Deliverables / Requirements	
Subtotal:	
Average:	

CUMULATIVE RATING

Weighted average total score (sections A and B):	
--	--

Comments on referenced evaluation factors:	
Rater: (Project Engineer)	Date:
Reviewer: (Region Materials Engineer)	Date: