

## Questions & Answers - 1

**Solicitation**

R1071824 - R1 PS Federal Blvd 49th to 91st Avenues Construction Mgmt

**Buying Organization**

CDOT CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING SERVICES

No	Question/Answer	Question Date
Q1	<p><b>Question: APE or second inspector?</b></p> <p>Would CDOT consider replacing the Assistant Project Engineer staffing requirement with a second Inspector?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> Yes, as long as this inspector can act as a lead field inspector and has sufficient experience for this type of project.</p>	07/19/2024
Q2	<p><b>Question: 6. Proposal Instructions</b></p> <p>Addendum #2 removed any reference to the approach section of the proposal. Previously, it was referenced but did not have a page section or scoring associated with it. This is not typical as it does not allow for sufficient differentiation between teams and allow the teams that do understand CDOT's and the Project's needs to stand out.</p> <p>Also, both the "Project Staff" section as well as the "Firm Capability" Section ask for how the sub-consultants' roles and integration to the team and to discuss succession planning.</p> <p>Was this intended to be written as is? If not, I suggest leaving the proposal as four pages plus the cover letter/prequal letter/small business pages, but allowing for 3 pages for "Project Team" (Project Staff and Firm Capability) and 1 page for "Approach".</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> This particular project lacks a full time state employed Project Engineer, so consultant staff past experience on CDOT projects is of great importance. Approach content describing, for example, how a team will "follow all CDOT processes and procedures, etc." is of comparably less value in this case, but that type of content can be fit into Firm Capability as desired.</p> <p>Sections (4) and (5) are duplicative and are being deleted from the Project Staff section and will remain in the Firm Capability section as shown.</p>	07/20/2024

No	Question/Answer	Question Date
Q3	<p><b>Question: Questions from WSP</b></p> <p>1. The CDOT roles are a bit unclear. Appendix A references a different project (we believe the intent was for definitions) and different positions listed in Appendix A that are not referenced in the SOW text. We believe the intent to be (you) Adam Parks as the Contract Administrator and the Resident Engineer with no project director named. A CDOT construction manager was not named, will this person be a part of the project? Adam will be the contract administrator/RE. As of now, there is no CDOT construction manager assigned to the project. Therefore, the consultant will be the CDOT lead during construction.</p> <p>2. SOW Page 12, Field Materials Section Item 1 lists an AC nuclear gauge as a required item for the consultant tester. AC nuclear gauges are rarely used and are not typical for a project this size. Is this project an asphalt voids acceptance project? Is the nuclear gauge required or will there be a Contractor supplied asphalt burn off oven in the mobile lab? This is a voids acceptance project. The Spec for the mobile lab is not complete yet, but I believe a burn off oven would be included in the requirement.</p> <p>3. There is not an office trailer or onsite laboratory listed in the SAQ. The SOW (page 12) says that CDOT will be providing a field lab. Will the office also be provided by CDOT or the responsibility of the Contractor? Does CDOT know the location of the office if one has been determined? If an office is obtained, it will be the responsibility of the contractor, and will have a pay item in the contract for reimbursement. No location is determined yet. It will be determined closer to project start.</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> 1. Adam Parks will be the contract administrator/RE. As of now, there is no CDOT construction manager assigned to the project. Therefore, the consultant will be the CDOT lead during construction.</p> <p>2. This is a voids acceptance project. The Spec for the mobile lab is not complete yet, but I believe a burn off oven would be included in the requirement.</p> <p>3. If an office is obtained, it will be the responsibility of the contractor, and will have a pay item in the contract for reimbursement. No location is determined yet. It will be determined closer to project start.</p>	08/01/2024
Q4	<p><b>Question: Questions from RS&amp;H</b></p> <p>1. The Approach section has been deleted per Addenda 2, is it the intent of CDOT to not include an approach section with our submission?</p> <p>2. Does the Asst. PE have to be a licensed engineer?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> 1. Correct, no Approach section is required for this particular project for reasons described above.</p> <p>2. No, only the project engineer.</p>	08/01/2024